

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/997,368	HIMURO, YASUO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven D. Maki	1733	

All Participants:

(1) Steven D. Maki.

(2) Paul Neils.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 November 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

On 11-12-04, Examiner proposed amending the specification to provide literal antecedent basis for the language added to claim 10 in the amendment filed 10-19-04. On 11-15-04, applicant's representative agreed to the change in the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Steven D Maki
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)